## 3G TR25.869 ∨1.2.<u>1</u>θ(200<u>4</u>3-0<u>2</u>8)

Technical Report



3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Tx diversity solutions for multiple antennas (Release 6)

The present document has been developed within the 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation Partnership Project (3GPP <sup>TM</sup>) and may be further elaborated for the purposes of 3GPP.

The present document has not been subject to any approval process by the 3GPP Organisational Partners and shall not be implemented. This Specification is provided for future development work within 3GPP only. The Organisational Partners accept no liability for any use of this Specification. Specifications and reports for implementation of the 3GPP<sup>TM</sup> system should be obtained via the 3GPP Organisational Partners' Publications Offices. Keywords <keyword[, keyword]>

#### 3GPP

Postal address

3GPP support office address 650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis Valbonne - FRANCE Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

> Internet http://www.3gpp.org

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© 2000, 3GPP Organizational Partners (AR IB, CWTS, ETSI, T 1, TTA, TTC). All rights reserved.

## Contents

Forev	vord	6 <del>5</del>
1	Scop e	<u>7<del>6</del></u>
2	References	<u>76</u>
3	Definitions, symbols and abbreviations.	
3.1	Definitions	98
3.2	Symbols	
3.3	Abbreviations	9 <del>8</del>
4	Background and Introduction	
5	Descriptions of studied concents	109
51	Descriptions of stated concepts management	109
511	Calculation of the Dominant Figenvectors	1211
5.1.2	Long Term Feedback Scheme.	1211
5.1.3	Short Term Feedback Scheme	12 <del>11</del>
5.1.4	Format of Feedback Information	13 <del>12</del>
5.2	Basis selection scheme for > 2 Tx antennas	14 <del>13</del>
5.2.1	Tx antenna weights	<u>14<del>13</del></u>
5.2.2	Representation of weight vectors	<u>14<del>13</del></u>
5.2.3	Feedback protocol structure	<u>14<del>13</del></u>
5.3	Closed loop mode 1 for > 2 Tx antennas: R2FNTM concept	<u>15<del>14</del></u>
5.4	Tx diversity scheme with beamforming feature	<u>16<del>15</del></u>
<u>5.4.1</u>	Description of our solution	<u>16<del>15</del></u>
5.4.2	Example format of feedback information	<u>17<del>16</del></u>
<u>5.5</u>	Closed loop transmit diversity mode 2 with reduced states for 4 elements	<u>18<del>17</del></u>
<u>5.5.1</u>	Format of Feedback Information	<u>18<del>17</del></u>
5.6	Description of the 4-Tx-SITD diversity scheme	<u>19<del>18</del></u>
5.6.1	Channel estimation for the 4-Tx-SITD concept	<u>20<del>19</del></u>
5.6.2	Phase rotation frequency of the 4-Tx-SITD concept	<u>21<del>20</del></u>
<u>5.7</u>	STID-OID scheme	<u>21<del>20</del></u>
<u>5.8</u>	Antenne vorification algorithm	<u>22<del>21</del></u> 2422
<u>5.0.1</u>	Ancina venneaton argonitimi	<u>24<del>23</del></u> 24 <u>23</u>
<u>5.)</u>	Vau CDICH Transmission Schames	2726
<u>0</u> 6 1	New CFICH Hansmission scheme for > 2 Ty entennes with reduced BADD and newer balancing	
$\frac{0.1}{6.1.1}$	CDICIL Teoremission Scheme	<u>21<del>20</del></u> 2726
$\frac{0.1.1}{6.1.1}$	CFICH Transmission Scheme	2726
611	2 Receiver Structure of CPICH	2028
611	2 Receiver structure of 4-ant diversity LIE	2928
6.1.1.	2.2 Receiver structure of 2-ant diversity UE.	2928
6.1.1.	2.3 Summary of channel estimation outputs	
6.1.2	Common Physical Channel Tx Scheme	30 <del>29</del>
6.1.2.	1 Common Physical Channel Tx Scheme	30 <del>29</del>
6.1.2.	2 Receiver Structure of Common Physical Channel Tx Scheme	<u>30<del>29</del></u>
6.1.3	Dedicated Physical Channel Tx Scheme	<u>31<del>30</del></u>
<u>6.1.3.</u>	1 Dedicated Physical Channel Tx Scheme for R99/R4 UE	<u>31<del>30</del></u>
<u>6.1.3.</u>	2 Dedicated Physical Channel Transmission Scheme for beyond R99/R4UE	<u>32<del>31</del></u>
6.2	New pilot scheme for 4-Tx-antennas	32 <del>31</del>
<u>6.2.1</u>	Description of the proposed pilot transmission scheme	<u>32<del>31</del></u>
<u>6.3</u>	New CPICH Transmission scheme for >2 Tx antennas	<u>35<del>34</del></u>
<u>6.3.1</u>	Definition of new orthogonal pilot sequences	<u>35<del>34</del></u>
6.3.2	Unequal power setting for CPICH	<u>36<del>35</del></u>
7	Performance	36 <del>35</del>
<u>7.1 Li</u>	nk level simulation assumptions	<u>36<del>35</del></u>
<u>7.1.1.</u>	Simulation assumptions	<u>36<del>35</del></u>
7.1.2.	Link Level Channel Model	<u>37<del>36</del></u>

8	Impacts to UE and UTRAN implementation	<u>39<del>38</del></u>
9	Impacts to physical layer operation	39 <del>38</del>
10	Backwards compatibility to R99/R4	39 <del>38</del>
10.1	Eigenbeamformer concept	<u>39<del>38</del></u>
10.2	Basis selection scheme for $> 2$ Tx antennas	<u>39<del>38</del></u>
10.3	New CPICH Transmission scheme for > 2 Tx antennas	<u>39<del>38</del></u>
10.4	4-Tx-STTD concept	39 <del>38</del>
<u>10.5</u>	Closed loop mode 1 for $> 2 \text{ Tx}$ antennas	<u>39<del>38</del></u>
11	Evaluations of the decribed schemes	40 <del>39</del>
Histo	IV.	
Forev	vord	5
4	Scope	6
1	stepe	
2	References	<del>6</del>
3	Definitions, symbols and abbraviations	0
<del></del>		ð
<del>3.1</del>	Definitions	<del>8</del>
3.2		·····8
3.3	Abbreviations	8
4	-Background and Introduction	8
5	Descriptions of studied concerts	0
<b>ə</b>	-Descriptions of studied concepts	
5.1	Description of the eigenbeamformer concept	<del>9</del>
5.I.I-	Calculation of the Dominant Eigenvectors	<del>11</del>
5.1.2	Long Term Feedback Scheme.	<del>11</del>
5.1.3	Short Term Feedback Scheme	
5.1.4	Format of Feedback Information	12
<del>5.2</del> —	- Basis selection scheme for > 2 Tx antennas	<del>13</del>
<del>5.2.1</del> -	Tx antenna weights	<del>13</del>
<del>5.2.2</del>	Representation of weight vectors	13
5.2.3	Feedback protocol structure	<del> 13</del>
<del>5.3</del> —	Closed loop mode 1 for > 2 Tx antennas: R2FNTM concept	
<del>5.4</del>	Tx diversity scheme with beamforming feature	<del>15</del>
<del>5.4.1</del> -		<del>15</del>
<del>5.4.2</del>	Example format of feedback information	<del>16</del>
<del>5.5</del> —	——Closed loop transmit diversity mode 2 with reduced states for 4 elements	<del>17</del>
<del>5.5.1</del> -	Format of Feedback Information	
<del>5.6</del>	Description of the 4-Tx-STTD diversity scheme	
<del>5.6.1</del>	Channel estimation for the 4 Tx STTD concept	<del> 19</del>
<del>5.6.2</del>	Phase rotation frequency of the 4-Tx-STTD concept	
<del>5.7</del> —	STTD-OTD scheme	
<del>5.8</del>	Closed Loop STTD with multiple antennas	
<del>5.8.1</del> -	Ant enna verificat ion algorithm	
6	New CDICH Transmission Schemes	24
6.1	Now CDICH transmission scheme for > 2 Ty antennes with reduced D ADD and new relations	<u></u>
<del>0.1</del>	New Childrift mansarisis on scheme for > 2 1 x antennas with reduced PAPK and power sulanding	
0.1.1	CPTCH TRansmission Scheme.	
0.1.1.	Comparison according	
<del>6.1.1.</del>	2 Receiver Structure of CPICH.	
<del>6.1.1.</del>	2.1 Receiver structure of 4-ant diversity UE	
<del>6.1.1.</del>	2.2 Keeeiver structure of 2-ant diversity UE	<del>26</del>
<del>6.1.1.</del>	2.3 Summary of channel estimation outputs	
<del>6.1.2</del>	Common Physical Channel Tx Scheme	
<del>6.1.2.</del>	Common Physical Channel Tx Scheme	
<del>6.1.2.</del>	2 Receiver Structure of Common Physical Channel Tx Scheme	
<del>6.1.3</del>	Dedicated Physical Channel Tx Scheme	
<del>6.1.3.</del>	Dedicated Physical Channel Tx Scheme for R99/R4 UE	<del>28</del>
<del>6.1.3.</del>	2 Dedicated Physical Channel Transmission Scheme for beyond R99/R4 UE	<del> 29</del>
<del>6.2</del> —	New pilot scheme for 4-Tx-antennas	<del>29</del>

6.2.1. Description of the proposed pilot transmission scheme	
6.3 New CPICH Transmission scheme for > 2 Tx antennas	
6.3.1 — Definition of new orthogonal pilot sequences	32
6.3.2 Unequal power setting for CPICH	
7Performance	
7.1 Link level simulation assumptions	
7.1.1 Simulation assumptions	
7.1.2. Link Level Channel Model	<del>34</del>
8 Impacts to UE and UTRAN implementation	
9 Impacts to physical layer operation	36
10 Backwards compatibility to R99/R4	
10.1 Eisenbeamformer concept	
10.2 Basis selection scheme for > 2 Tx antennas	
10.3 New CPICH Transmission scheme for > 2.Tx antennas	
104 4 Tx SFTD concept	<del></del>
10.5 Closed loop mode 1 for > 2 Tx antennas	<del>36</del>
11—Evaluations of the decribed schemes	
History	

## Foreword

This Technical Specification has been produced by the 3<sup>st</sup> Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of the present document, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

- x the first digit:
  - 1 presented to TSG for information;
  - 2 presented to TSG for approval;
  - 3 or greater indicates TSG approved document under change control.
- y the second digit is incremented for all changes of substance, i.e. technical enhancements, corrections, updates, etc.
- z the third digit is incremented when editorial only changes have been incorporated in the document.

## 1 Scope

The study done in this TR focuses on transmit diversity methods with more than 2 antennas at the Node B and 1 antenna at the UE. The functionality introduced to support more than 2 antennas at the Node B could permit consideration of compatible operation with 2 antennas.

A proposal for a new transmit diversity method using multiple antennas may be included in the TR if at least the following requirements are fulfilled:

- a) it should be shown that there is significant benefit in at least one realistic scenario, e.g. one of the (multi-)path models of table 7; for closed loop methods also feedback errors and delays need to be considered.
- b) the description should be detailed enough to see how it fits into the current specification (so it is in principle clear what changes need to be done).
- c) relevant R99 schemes should be used as reference cases depending on the proposal (open or closed loop, or both)
- d) backwards compatibility needs to be addressed

This evaluation of different transmit diversity schemes for multiple antennas is within the scope of this TR.

## 2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- · For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies.
- [<seq>] <doctype> <#>[ ([up to and including] {yyyy[-mm]|V<a[.b[.c]]>}[onwards])]: "<Title>".
- [1] 3G T S 25.211(V3.5.0): "Physical channels and mapping of transport channels onto physical channels (FDD)".
- [2] 3G T R 25.214 (V3.5.0): "Physical layer procedures (FDD)".
- [3] 3G T S 25.221 (V3.5.0): "Physical channels and mapping of transport channels onto physical channels (TDD)".
- [4] 3G T S 25.224 (V3.5.0): "Physical layer procedures (TDD)".
- [5] Nokia. Recommended simulation parameters for Tx diversity simulations. TSG-R W G1 document, TSGR1#14(00)0867, July 4-7, 2000, Oulu, Finland, 5 pp.
- [6] Siemens. Description of the eigenbeamformer concept (update) and performance evaluation. TSG-R WG 1 document, TSGR1#19(01)0203, February 27 – March 2, 2001, Las Vegas, USA.
- [7] R1-00-0882, "Preliminary version of algorithm and Simulation results for Tx Diversity with more than 2 Tx Antennas," TSG-RAN WG1#14, Oulu, Finland, July 2000
- [8] Nokia, "Backward Compatibility with Tx-Diversity Extensions" 3GPP T SG RAN W G1#19(01)0335, Las Vegas, United States, February 27 – March 2, 2001.
- [9] O. Tirkkonen, A. Boariu and A. Hottinen, "Minimal non-orthogonality rate 1 space-time block code for 3+ Tx antennas", ISSST A2000, New Jersey, USA, September 2000.
- [10] Nokia, "A simple pilot arrangement for more than two Tx-antennas" TSGR1#20(01)0558, Busan, Korea, May 21-25, 2001.

- [11] Nokia, "Demonstration of a 4-Tx-STTD OL diversity scheme" TSGR1#21(01)0829, Torino, Italy, August 27-31, 2001.
- [12] Siemens, Nokia, "Recommendations on further study on extension of TX Diversity for more than two antennas" TSGR1#20(01)0557, Busan, Korea, May 21-25, 2001.
- [13] 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network. Physical Layer Aspects of UTRA High Speed Downlink Packet Access (Release 2000), TR25.848, v. 0.5.0 2000 - 5. In Working Group meeting#18, Boston USA, 15-18, Jan., 2001.
- [14] 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network. UE Radio Tx and Rx (FDD) (Release '03), TR25.101, v. 3.3.1 2000 - 6.
- [15] Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., "New CPICH Transmission scheme for 4-antenna transmit diversity", T SGR1#15(00)1072, T SG-RAN Working Group 1 meeting #15, Berlin, Germany, August 22 - August 25, 2000.
- [16] R1(02)0269, "Text proposal for 4-Tx-pilots (TR25.869)", Espoo, Finland, January 8-11, 2002.
- [17] R1(02)0272, "Text proposal for 4-Tx-pilots (TR25.869)", Orlando, USA, February 18-22, 2002.
- [18] T SGR1#20(01)-0684, June 26-28, 2001, Espoo, Finland, Texas Instruments, "STTD-OTD openloop transmit diversity scheme for HSDPA systems with four transmit antennas."
- [19] T SGR1#22(01)-1063, November 19-25, 2001, Jeju, Korea, Texas Instruments, "Modified STTD-OTD open-loop transmit diversity scheme for four transmit antennas."
- [20] SCM-037 SCM-Text v1.9, Spatial Channel Model AHG (Combined ad-hoc from 3GPP & 3GPP2), "Spatial Channel Model Text Description", 2002
- [21] R1-00-0770, LG Electronics, "Application of MIMO spatial channel model to Tx diversity", Gyeongju, Korea, May 13-16, 2002
- [22] R1-01-0765, Nokia, "On channel models for Tx diversity", Gyeongju, Korea, May 13-16, 2002
- [23] R1-02-0987, Nokia and LG Electronics, "Proposal for channel models for Tx-diversity", Oulu, Finland, July 2 - 5, 2002
- [24] R1-00-1067, Siemens, "Channel model for Tx diversity simulations using correlated antennas", Berlin, Germany, August 22-25, 2000
- [25] R1-02-1212, LG Electronics, Nokia and Samsung, "Text proposal for Tx diversity channel models in TR 25.869 (Rev 3.0)", Espoo, Finland, October 8-9, 2002
- [26] LG Electronics, Inc., "Eigen-STTD with 4 transmit antenna elements", R1-02-1211, Espoo, Finland, October 8-9, 2002.
- [27] Huawei, "Performances of CL-4-Tx-STTD based on ASTTD weighting", R1-030016, San Diego, USA, January 7-10, 2003.
- [28] Huawei, "Comparison of CL-4-Tx-STTD with TxAA mode 1", R1-030153, Tokyo, Japan, February 18-21, 2003.
- [29] LGE, "Evaluation methodology for transmit diversity with multiple antennas" R1-030183, TSG RAN W G1#31, Tokyo, Japan, February 18-21, 2003.
- [30] Nokia, "On the evaluation of multiple antenna Tx diversity schemes," R1-030011, TSG RAN WG1#30, San Diego, USA, Jan. 7-10, 2003.
- [31] LGE, Nokia and Samsung, "Text proposal for Tx diversity channel models," R1-02-1419, TSG RAN W G1#29, Shanghai, China , November 5-8, 2002.
- [32] Spatial Channel Model AHG (Combined ad-hoc from 3GPP & 3GPP2), "Spatial channel model text description," SCM-134, SCM-text v6.0 2003.



## 3 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations

## 3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the [following] terms and definitions [given in ... and the following] apply.

#### Definition format

<defined term>: <definition>.

example: text used to clarify abstract rules by applying them literally.

## 3.2 Symbols

For the purposes of the present document, the following symbols apply:

#### Symbol format

<symbol> <Explanation>

## 3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

Abbreviation format

<ACRONYM> <Explanation>

## 4 Background and Introduction

The standardization of 3<sup>rd</sup> generation WCDMA system has been going on in 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) since the end of 1998. The 3G systems bring a promise of much higher data rates and enhanced services when compared to 2G systems. As many of the proposed services, like wireless web browsing, are expected to be downlink-intensive it was recognized from the very beginning that improvement of downlink capacity is one of the main challenges.

Performance of radio system depends on various issues but one important factor is the available diversity (time, frequency, multipath etc.). Due to wide bandwidth WCDMA systems are especially effective in exploiting the multipath diversity existing in time dispersive radio environments. If little or no multipath diversity is available the performance can degrade quite considerably. One way of improving the situation is to utilize 2 or more receive and/or transmit antennas that effectively speaking introduce additional radio paths and thereby increase the available diversity. As receiver antenna diversity is implementation wise challenging especially for low cost terminals a lot of attention have been paid to various transmit diversity solutions to be employed on radio access network side.

During 1999 a great deal of effort was put on defining transmit diversity solutions for Rel.-99 of 3GPP WCDMA specifications. As a result two open loop techniques, Space Time Transmit Diversity (STTD) and Time Switched Transmit Diversity (TSTD), and closed loop solution based on Transmit Adaptive Array (Tx AA) concept with two different modes were standardized for FDD [1,2]. For TDD, TSTD and Block STTD open loop methods can be used on SCH and P-CCPCH, respectively, and closed loop methods on DPCH [3, 4]. All the Rel.-99 Tx diversity methods assume two transmit antennas.

Already during 1999 it was recognized that further performance improvements could be possible by increasing the number of transmit antennas. Yet, it was agreed that Tx diversity for more than 2 antennas will be studied for possible

inclusion to Rel.-5 of 3GPP specifications. The following chapters describe the proposed concepts, present the performance results, consider the impacts on UE and UTRAN implementation, and physical layer operation, and, finally, present issues related to backwards compatibility to Rel.-99 followed by conclusions.

Note, that the schemes included in this TR are currently for the purpose of description of new schemes only.

## 5 Descriptions of studied concepts

## 5.1 Description of the eigenbeamformer concept

With increasing the number of antenna elements by using an extension of the Release-99 TxD modes, the amount of necessary feedback is increased. When keeping the uplink bandwith the same the antenna weights cannot be adjusted fast enough to account for fast fading. Hence, for higher velocities of the UE the gain due to the additional antenna elements is low.

However there are ways to reduce the necessary feedback bandwith if the antenna channel paths are correlated. One possible concept to achieve a lower feedback bandwith is the eigenbeamformer concept which takes advantage of the correlated antenna paths. The general idea behind the eigenbeamformer is a decorrelation of the antenna signal paths to achieve a reduction in dimension of the spatial space. This enables subsequent short term processing at the UE to sufficiently mitigate fast fading.

This decorrelation is performed by exploiting the long term properties of the propagation paths based on an eigenanalysis of its long term spatial covariance matrix. The eigenvectors (in the sequel also called eigenbeams) with the largest eigenvalues (largest average UE receive power) are determined and fed back step by step to the Node B. This process takes place on the same time scale as the physical UE movement. Accordingly, the required operations in the UE as well as required feedback bits are distributed over a very large number of slots.

In addition, a short term selection between the eigenbeams is carried out at the UE to account for fast fading. This information is fed back to the Node B on (almost) every slot.

By this technique it is possible to address a larger number of antenna elements providing large beamforming gains at higher velocities.



Figure 1. Generic Downlink transmitter at the Node B with M = 4 antenna elements

Figure 1 and Figure 2 show the generic architecture of the eigenbeamformer concept at the Node B and the UE. In the following sections the focus is on a system with M = 4 antenna elements and Nbeam = 2 or 4 eigenvectors. However the eigenbeamformer is easily extendable to more antenna elements.



Figure 2. Generic eigenbeam former structure at the UE for M = 4

#### 5.1.1 Calculation of the Dominant Eigenvectors

Using orthogonal pilot sequences transmitted from the Node B antenna elements, the UE estimates the short term spatial covariance matrix averaged over the temporal taps of the channel.

$$\mathbf{R}_{\rm ST} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{h}_n \, \mathbf{h}_n^H \tag{1}$$

The column vector  $\mathbf{h}_n = (h_{n1}, h_{n2}, \dots, h_{nM})^T$  denotes the channel vector of the n-th temporal tap. The number of taps is denoted by N; M = 4 antenna elements are assumed. The long term spatial covariance matrix is obtained by averaging the short term matrix using a forgetting factor  $\rho$ .

$$\mathbf{R}_{\rm LT}(i) = \rho \, \mathbf{R}_{\rm LT}(i-1) + (1-\rho) \, \mathbf{R}_{\rm ST}(i) \tag{2}$$

The symbol i denotes the time index. It is sufficient to perform an update once every frame or even in larger intervals.

Decorrelation in space is achieved by an eigenanalysis of the long term spatial covariance matrix according to

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{LT}} \ \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{\Theta} \tag{3}$$

The eigenvectors (eigenbeams) to be found are columns of  $\mathbf{V}$ . Since the matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$  is diagonal by definition, transmission on different eigenbeams leads to uncorrelated fast fading. The diagonal entries indicate the long term UE received power of each beam.

Note that the eigenbeamformer automatically adjusts to various propagation environments (spatially correlated or uncorrelated). If the channel is spatially correlated, the channel can accurately be described by a small number of eigenbeams. If, on the other hand, the channel has a spatial correlation of zero, no long term spatial channel information can be exploited and each eigenvector addresses only one antenna element.

### 5.1.2 Long Term Feedback Scheme

From the set of M = 4 eigenbeams in V, Nbeam vectors with the largest eigenvalues will be chosen to be transmitted in the long term feedback.

Each weight vector is a vector of complex numbers. The size of this vector equals the number of antenna elements (M = 4). Each complex vector element is quantized by a number of bits. There are different ways for quantization. For example, the absolute value and the phase can be quantized with 3 and 5 bits respectively. Hereby, the amount of bits can be reduced if the phase of the first vector element is set to zero. Thus, for the transmission of one eigenbeam  $4 \square *3+3 * \square 5 = 27$  bits are necessary.

This number applies for the direct feedback of the eigenbeams from the UE to the Node B. Also methods with progressive refinement could be used that transmit only the difference to the previously sent vector. This could reduce the subsequent update period and an increased quantization / resolution is possible.

More advanced long term feedback concepts could be used which require less feedback bits.

To ensure good performance of the eigenbeamformer concept it is essential to add redundancy to the long term feedback. Therefore coding is used to be capable of detecting and correcting feedback errors. There are several possibilities to define such a code. One possibility described here is a simple BCH code with code rate of 54/110. For two coded eigenbeams a total of 110 bits are transmitted. The number of bits per eigenbeam roughly doubles and so the code is capable to detect and correct 9 bit errors. In the unlikely event of more than 9 bit errors in one coding block it can be assumed that the Node B detects this incorrect feedback by making a plausibility check, e.g. checking the orthogonality of the eigenbeams. In that case the previously used eigenbeams could be reused once more.

## 5.1.3 Short Term Feedback Scheme

A short term estimate of the UE received power is performed for each weight vector by calculating

$$P_{m} = \mathbf{w}_{m}^{H} \mathbf{R}_{\text{ST}}^{T} \mathbf{w}_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left| \mathbf{w}_{m}^{T} \mathbf{h}_{n} \right|^{2}$$
(4)  
where  $\mathbf{w}_{m} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{2} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1}(m) \\ \alpha_{2}(m) \end{bmatrix}$ 

where  $[\alpha_1(m) \alpha_2(m)]^T \in \{[1 \ 0]^T, [0, 1]^T\}$  for *beam selection scheme* or  $[\alpha_1(m) \alpha_2(m)]^T \in \{[1 \ \gamma \exp(\pi/4)]^T, [1 \ \gamma \exp(3\pi/4)]^T, [1 \ \gamma \exp(-3\pi/4)]^T\}$  for *weighted combining scheme*, where *m* characterizes the degree of the combining phase,  $\gamma \propto \operatorname{sqrt}(\lambda_2/\lambda_1)$ , where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  is first and second largest eigenvalue of long term covariance matrix,  $\mathbf{R}_{LT}$ , respectably. The weight vector that results in the maximum value for the received power  $P_m$  is selected and signalled to the Node B.

For two (four) eigenbeams 2 (4) bits are transmitted to indicate the selection and progressive refine is applied to transmit each bit. The overlaying long term processing makes it possible to co-phase combine two(four) eigenbeams instead of antenna elements.

An increasing number of antenna elements can be addressed without reducing the UE velocity threshold. Note that the pilot symbols of the DPCCH may be used for eigenbeam verification similar to the closed loop modes in Release-99.

#### 5.1.4 Format of Feedback Information

The feedback rate for the eigenbeamformer is kept at the same rate as in Release-99 and is 1500 bit/s. The long term information bits (for feedback of eigenbeams) and the short term information bits (for feedback of eigenbeam selection) are multiplexed. The following frame format for the feedback information bits is proposed:

Table 1: Multiplexing of long term / short term feedback information

Slot #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
short term FB bits	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
long term FB bits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

In this multiplexing format the transmission of two eigenbeams would take 2\*27=54 frames or 540 ms (see section 5.1.2). The eigenbeam selection of the previous slot is applied in the slots where no short term feedback information is received by the Node B (slot #15).

This format is confined to one radio frame. Thus, no counting over frame boundaries is necessary.

In a later extension with more than 4 antenna elements other formats could be used, e.g. using 3 long term feedback bits within one frame. This is for further study.

Table 2: Multiple xing form at of long term / short term inform ation for more than 4 antenna elements

Slot #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
short term FB bits	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
long term FB bits	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Since no long term channel information is available at the Node B for a user at the start of transmission, initial weight vectors may, for instance, address only one of the antenna elements, e.g.,

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } \mathbf{M} = 4 \text{ antenna elements.}$$

1)

## 5.2 Basis selection scheme for > 2 Tx antennas

## 5.2.1 Tx antenna weights

In closed loop Tx diversity systems, the weights of transmit antennas are determined at a mobile station and fed back to the base station. These weights should result in as high SNR as possible at the mobile. The set of these weights may be viewed as a vector  $\underline{w} = [w_1 w_2 \dots w_i \dots w_M]^T$ , where  $w_i$  is a complex weight associated with the *i*th Tx antenna. For the maximum SNR at the mobile, the weights should maximize *P* below:

$$P = \underline{w}^{H} H^{H} H \underline{w}, \tag{5}$$

when  $H = [\underline{h}_1 \, \underline{h}_2 \dots \underline{h}_M]$  and M is the number of Tx antennas. The column vector  $\underline{h}_i$  represents an estimated channel impulse response for the *i*th Tx antenna, and its vector length equals to the number of paths. The weight vector  $\underline{w}$ information is periodically fed back to the base station. Note that the amount of feedback information and the implementation complexity increase with the number of Tx antennas. The efficient representation of a weight vector is desired to reduce the amount of feedback data and the implementation complexity. Furthermore, backward compatibility is desirable.

A weight vector with *M* elements may be represented as a linear sum of basis vectors, which span an *M*-dimensional space. Examples of basis vectors for 2-, 3-, 4-dimensional spaces are shown in Appendix A of[7]. Let's assume for explanation that 4 Tx antennas are used for Tx diversity. The optimal weight vector  $\underline{w}_{opt}$  for this system has 4 elements and may be represented as a linear sum of four basis vectors,  $\underline{B}_1, \underline{B}_2, \underline{B}_3, \underline{B}_4$ , as follows:

$$\underline{w}_{opt} = c_1 \underline{B}_1 + c_2 \underline{B}_2 + c_3 \underline{B}_3 + c_4 \underline{B}_4 \tag{6}$$

where  $c_1, ..., c_4$  are complex coefficients associated with corresponding vectors. Assuming that  $|c_1| > |c_2| > |c_3| > |c_4|$ ,  $\underline{w}_{opt}$  may be approximated as

$$\underline{w}_{app\_1} \cong c_1 \underline{B}_1, \tag{7.a}$$

$$\underline{w}_{app_2} \cong c_1 \underline{B}_1 + c_2 \underline{B}_2, \tag{7.b}$$

$$\underline{W}_{app\_3} \cong c_1 \underline{B}_1 + c_2 \underline{B}_2 + c_3 \underline{B}_3, \tag{7.c}$$

These vectors  $\underline{w_{app}}_1, \underline{w_{app}}_2, \underline{w_{app}}_3$ , may be viewed as the projections of  $\underline{w_{apt}}_1$  into 1-dim, 2-dim, and 3-dim subspaces.  $\underline{w_{app}}_3$  is more accurate representation of  $\underline{w_{apt}}_1$  and  $\underline{w_{app}}_2$ .

## 5.2.2 Representation of weight vectors

The conventional representation of the vector  $\underline{w}_{opt}$  may require  $(M-1)*N_c$  bits, where  $N_c$  bits are required to represent each element of  $\underline{w}_{opt}$ . This representation indicates that the transmission of  $(M-1)*N_c$  bits at 1500Hz is required to support Tx diversity with M Tx antennas. The reason for  $(M-1)*N_c$  not  $M*N_c$  is that one of M Tx antennas may be viewed as reference and the relative weights for other antennas are required. To reduce the required number of bits, it is proposed to feedback information on the approximated vector, instead of  $\underline{w}_{opt}$ . The representation of the approximated vector includes the specification of basis vectors and associated coefficients. When there are M Tx antennas and the approximation is made in a S-dimensional subspace, there are  ${}_{M}C_{S}$  combinations for selecting S basis vectors among M vectors and the required number of bit to specify the basis vector combination is  $\left[\log_2({}_{M}C_{S})\right]$ .

#### 5.2.3 Feedback protocol structure

In the simulation, the two cases for antenna selection is considered: **Case 1**) 2 antenna selection (M=4, S=2), it noted as 4C2 and **Case 2**) 3 antenna selection (M=4, S=3), it noted as 4C3. In both cases, 2bit representation for each element (phase only) is used ( $N_c = 2$ ). The required number of feedback information per signalling word is: **Case 1**) 5 bits, and **Case 2**) 6bits. For detail simulation scheme, section 6.2.1 can be referred. The considered frame format of feedback information is:

(Case 1: 2 best selection among 4 basis and combine all 2 with received phase information)

 Slot
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15

Phase				P <sub>1</sub>	$P_2$				P <sub>1</sub>	$P_2$				<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	$P_2$
Selection	$S_1$	<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>			$S_1$	$S_2$	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>			$S_1$	<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>		

Si: Antenna selection bits

Pi: Phase difference with respect to the coefficient associated with the first basis vector

(Case 2:3 best selection among 4 basis and	combine all 3 with received	phase information)
--	-----------------------------	--------------------

Slot	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Phase			P <sub>11</sub>	P <sub>12</sub>	P <sub>21</sub>	P <sub>22</sub>			P <sub>11</sub>	P <sub>12</sub>	P <sub>21</sub>	P <sub>22</sub>			P <sub>11</sub>
Selection	S <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$					$S_1$	$S_2$					$S_1$	$S_2$	

S<sub>i</sub>: Antenna selection bits

Pij: Phase difference with respect to the coefficient associated with the first basis vector

## 5.3 Closed loop mode 1 for > 2 Tx antennas: R2FNTM concept

As pointed in [6], there are much of issues that may/will degrade the "optimal" CL 4-Tx-diversity results, and in order to go forward with CL concepts, much of further analysis is needed. This section contains only a basic description (and basic results in 6.2) not trying to give the full analysis.

In the Rel.-99 closed loop mode 1 UE calculates the phase adjustment to be done at the UTRAN access point. This involves the calculation of the phase difference between the signals transmitted from the two antennas. When there are N Tx-antennas, a straight forward extension of the mode 1 is to calculate the needed phase adjustment for each N-1 antennas with respect to the reference antenna and signal those adjustments back to the UTRAN. How fast this information can be communicated to UTRAN depends naturally on the number of antennas and the feedback bit rate. This in turn will deteriorate faster as a function of UE speed if the feedback bit rate is low and N large. As it is not desirable to increase the feedback bit rate from 1500 bps as defined in Rel.-99 methods trying to enhance the performance given the restrictions in feedback signaling need to be studied.

In Rel.-99 closed loop mode 1 the filtering of the phase adjustments at the UTRAN access point is specified as simple (sliding window) averaging over two consecutive values. This could be denoted as R2F2T2 where R2 denotes the number of constellation sets due to rotation, F2 denotes the filter of length 2 and T2 denotes the 2  $T_x$  antennas. This can be generalized to R2FNTM, that is rotation constellation set per antenna remains the same as in Rel.-99, length of the phase adjustment filter is N, and there are M Tx-antennas.

In order to maintain the same rate of feedback as in the Rel.-99 mode 1, the weight of only one antenna is fed back in one slot. Hence, the actual memory of the filter is (M-1)(N-1) slots. In case of 4 Tx-antennas (M=4), let  $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3$  be the feedback for antennas 2,3,4 respectively, with antenna 1 being the reference antenna. Let N = 4 (R2F4T4). It is clear that in this example case the current antenna weight relies on feedback weights sent 9 slots in the past as illustrated in the Figure 3.

Z <sub>1</sub>	Z <sub>2</sub>	Z <sub>3</sub>	Z <sub>1</sub>	Z <sub>2</sub>	Z <sub>3</sub>	Z <sub>1</sub>	Z <sub>2</sub>	Z <sub>3</sub>	Z <sub>1</sub>	
Slot 1	Slot 2	Slot 3	Slot 4	Slot 5	Slot 6	Slot 7	Slot 8	Slot 9	Slot 10	
										<b>&gt;</b>

#### Figure 3. Filtering of the feedback commands

The filter itself could be of various types but a simple solution is to use the same averaging equation as in the Rel.-99 mode 1. End of frame adjustments can also be made as in the case of Rel. -99 mode 1. Some of the combinations fed to

the filter lead to a filtered output of zero. In those cases, either the previously used antenna weight can be reused, or the next closest weight constellation point can be used.

## 5.4 Tx diversity scheme with beamforming feature

It is desirable that the closed loop multiple antenna transmit diversity/beamforming scheme can support a variety of antenna configurations and beamforming algorithms. To achieve it efficiently, the higher layer signaling information about Tx antenna configurations of Node B to UE is necessary. As the spatial correlation largely depends on the Tx antenna configurations in most cases, it will greatly help UEs to determine how appropriately the diversity and beamforming be combined, e.g. the number of beams and the feedback frame format.

Spatial correlation property depends on both the transmit antenna configuration and the radio propagation environment. The latter is unpredictable and performance depends on how feedback scheme matches the channel. For example, in strong spatially correlated channels, frequent update of short-term diversity weights is not efficient. In spatially uncorrelated channels, feedback bits for long-term beamforming weights are useless. The former, selection of antenna configuration, is one of the design criteria for cellular operators. It is rather easy to control spatial correlation by choosing appropriate antenna configuration.

In theory, employing multiple transmit antenna elements can achieve both diversity gain and beamforming gain. If the antennas are placed far away from each other, maximum diversity gain can be achieved but, due to the grating lobe problem, the achievable beamforming gain is limited. On the other hand, if the inter-element spacing in the antenna array is small, maximum beamforming gain can be obtained but the diversity gain will be limited as signals from different antenna elements are highly correlated.

## 5.4.1 Description of our solution

According to the transmit antenna configuration of the Node B, UE calculate short-term diversity weights and long-term beamforming weights. The hierarchical weighting is defined as shown in Figure 4.

Consider an *M* sub-arrays configuration in which each sub-array consists of K=N/M elements. Firstly, UE finds an *M*-dimensional short-term diversity weight vector  $\underline{w}_D$ , which maximize

$$P_{D} = \underline{w}_{D}^{H} H_{D}^{H} H_{D} \underline{w}_{D}$$
(8)
with  $H_{D} = [\underline{h}_{1}, \underline{h}_{K+1}, \cdots, \underline{h}_{(M-1)K+1}]$ 

where,  $\underline{h}_{(m-1)K+1}$   $(m = 1 \cdots M)$  is the channel response vector, which represents the *m*-th sub-array.

Secondly, UE finds a K-dimensional beamforming weight vector  $\underline{W}_{B,m}$  for each sub-array which maximize

$$P_{B,m} = \underline{w}_{B,m}^{H} H_{B,m}^{H} H_{B,m} \underline{w}_{B,m}$$
(9)  
with  $H_{B,m} = [\underline{h}_{(m-1)K+1}, \underline{h}_{(m-1)K+2}, \cdots, \underline{h}_{(m-1)K+K}]$ 

where,  $\underline{h}_{(m-1)K+k}$   $(k = 1 \cdots K)$  is the channel response vector of the *k*-th element in the *m*-th sub-array.

Then, short-term diversity weights  $D_{1,m}$  and long-term beamforming weights  $B_{mk}$  for the hierarchical weighting are calculated from  $\underline{W}_{D}$  and  $\underline{W}_{B,m}$  as follows.

$$D_{1,m} = \frac{w_D(m)}{w_D(1)} \quad (m = 1 \cdots M)$$
(10)  
$$B_{m,k} = \frac{w_{B,m}(k)}{w_{B,m}(1)} \quad (m = 1 \cdots M, \ k = 1 \cdots K)$$
(11)

 $\{D_{1,m}\}\$  corresponds to the *M*-branch Tx diversity weights and  $\{B_{m,k}\}\$  are beamformer weights for the *m*-th antenna group. The feedback frequency for  $\{D_{1,m}\}\$  is much higher than that for  $\{B_{m,k}\}\$  to suit for fast fading environment.



Figure 4. Hierarchical weighting for the transmit antenna diversity/beamforming

## 5.4.2 Example format of feedback information

The following frame formats for the feedback information bits are desirable. Format 1 is for Tx diversity and it allows employing the scheme without beamforming features. Format 2 is for beamforming with small inter-element spacing less than spatial correlation length. All of 15 bits/frame for beamformer weights can be used for accurate control of the beam. Format 3 is for combination of diversity and beamforming, which is suitable for the sub-array antenna configuration. Single beamformer weight is quantized by 3 bits and fed back in a frame to Node B. In case of two-sub-array system, the beamformer weight of sub-array #1 is transmitted in the first frame, then the beamformer weight of sub-array #2 is transmitted in the second frame. The number of antenna elements in each sub-array can be increased, as long as the feedback delay is permissible for updating the beamformer weights.

Slot #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
FB bits for D	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
FB bits for B	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 4. Multiplexing format 2 of feedback information for beamform in
--

Slot #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
FB bits for D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FB bits for B	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Table 5. Multiplexing format 3 of feedback information for combination of diversity and beamforming

Slot #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
FB bits for D	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
FB bits for B	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

# 5.5 Closed loop transmit diversity mode 2 with reduced states for 4 elements

Similar to Rel-99 closed loop Tx diversity systems, the weights of transmit antennas are determined at a mobile station and fed back to the base station. The set of weights at the UTRAN-AP  $\underline{w} = [w_1 \ w_2 \ \dots \ w_i \ \dots \ w_M]^T$ , where  $w_i$  is a complex weight associated with the *i*th Tx antenna are chosen to maximize *P* below:

 $P = w^{H} H^{H} H w, \tag{12}$ 

where  $H = [\underline{h}_1 \, \underline{h}_2 \dots \underline{h}_i \dots \underline{h}_M]$  and *M* is the number of Tx antennas. The column vector  $\underline{h}_i$  represents an estimated channel impulse response for the *i*th Tx antenna, and its vector length equals to the number of paths.

Two extensions of the Rel'99 closed loop transmit diversity mode 2 for the case of 4 elements are considered with 4 phases and 8 phases states per element as defined in Table x1 and Table x2 respectively.

Table x1. FSM of modified closed loop mode 2 (4p0g) signalling message per element				
FSM	Phase difference between antennas			
00	$\pi/4$			
01	3π/4			
11	-3π/4			
10	-π/4			

Table x2. FS M of modified closed loop mode 2 (8p0g) signalling message per element				
FSM	Phase difference between antennas			
000	0			
001	$\pi/4$			
010	3π/4			
011	π/2			
100	-π/4			
101	-π/2			
110	π			
111	-3π/4			

Therefore 6 bits and 9 bits feedback per slot are needed for the update of the antenna coefficients for 4p0g and 8p0g respectively. If we consider **1 bit feedback per slot**, Progressive refinement (as described for Rel 99 Closed loop transmit diversity Mode 2) is used to update the antenna coefficients.

### 5.5.1 Format of Feedback Information

The uplink feedback information signalling is similar to the Rel-99 mode 2, that is using progressive refinement both at the UE and UTRAN-AP. The only change is the number of states, at the UE, to be compared for maximising  $P = \underline{w}^H H^H H_{\underline{w}}$ , is increased due to 4 elements instead of 2 (Rel 99). The FSM (Tables x1 and x2) corresponding to coefficient of the antenna 2, 3 and 4 are sent successively.

## 5.6 Description of the 4-Tx-STTD diversity scheme

Starting point in the design of the proposed 4-Tx-STTD has been an observation that open loop (OL) schemas perform much better at high velocities than at stabile channels since of better interleaving caused by velocity. Complexity increase is also required to be minimize compared to 2-Tx-STTD, as well as full compatibility with rel'99 structures (e.g. interleavers).

4-tx-STTD utilizes Alamouti's space-time block code: encoding by 4-Tx-STTD means to transmit the first STTD diversity branch ( $s_1, s_2,...$ ) via the first and the phase rotated replica via the second antenna. The second STTD diversity branch is transmitted in an analog manner from the antennas 3 and 4. The general encoding scheme for 4-tx-STTD is presented in the Figure 5-Figure 5.



Figure 5. Encoding for 4-Tx-STTD.

P seudo-antennas can be defined e.g. as  $A_a := A_1 + A_2$ ,  $A_b := A_3 + A_4$ ,  $A_c := A_1 - A_2$  and  $A_d := A_3 - A_4$  if power balancing is required.

Phase hopping by using 8-level quantization gives the required performance. The rotation phases are picked from a short look-up-table, keeping the same phases over the period of at least 2 symbols.



Figure 6 One optimal configuration of phase offsets

One optimal configuration is obtained when the periodic phase shift pattern of shifts in degrees of {0, 135, 270, 45, 180, 315, 90, 225} on pseudo antenna 2 and respectively {180, 315, 90, 225, 0, 135, 270, 45} on pseudo antenna 4 is applied.

It is required that  $\varphi = \psi + \pi$ , and therefore it is sufficient to have only one look-up table for the both phase rotated channels.

Decoding procedure for 4-Tx-STTD is similar to that of 2-Tx-STTD except that in the decoding of the STTD-branches estimates of rotated channels

$$\begin{cases} h_1 + \exp(j\varphi)h_2 \\ h_3 + \exp(j\psi)h_4 \end{cases}$$
(13)

are used, where  $h_i$  is the channel estimation of the *i*'th physical antenna. Gain factors  $\chi$  and  $\xi$  are adjusted depending on channel estimation quality.

#### 5.6.1 Channel estimation for the 4-Tx-STTD concept

Depending on the CpiCH transmission scheme used we distinguish two different cases how the channel estimation for 4-tx-SFTD can be done.

#### Channel estimation for symmetric pilots:

In this case the common pilot power is evenly distributed between primary and secondary CPiCH, and all the four channels are estimated from common pilots (i.e., from P-CpiCH and S-CpiCH). In this case  $\chi = \xi = 1$ .

#### Channel estimation for non-symmetric pilots:

Non-symmetric channel estimation approach is used in the case that rel'99 backward compatibility reasons force us to avoid the use of S-CpiCH or it's power is at remarkably lower level than that of P-CpiCH. In this case the first STTD branch transmitting non-transformed signal is estimated from P-CpiCH and the additional channels transmitting the

transformed signal are estimated from the dedicated pilots. This is illustrated in the Figure 7. Figure 7. In order to improve the quality of the dedicated channel estimates of the additional channels additional pilot power offset may be

Formatted: Font: TimesNew Roman,Not Bold, English (United Kingdom)

Formatted: Font: TimesNew Roman,Not Bold, English (United Kingdom) used. If we are forced to use non-symmetric channel estimation, then from the performance point of view it is beneficial to set  $\chi > \xi$ .

The non-symmetric pilot arrangement minimizes the effect of channel estimation errors and guarantees that 4-tx-STTD always outperforms one antenna transmission ( $\xi = 0$ ). The scheme is also well power balanced.



#### Figure 7. Using dedicated pilots for channel estimation of the second diversity branch.

## 5.6.2 Phase rotation frequency of the 4-Tx-STTD concept

One issue that should be considered when the 4-Tx-STTD is used is the phase rotation frequency. Two different options exists. First option is to use fixed phase rotation frequency *in time* meaning that the rotation phases are updated once in a certain time period. Another option is to use fixed phase rotation frequency *in symbols* meaning that a certain number of consecutive 4-Tx-STTD encoded data symbols in phase rotated channels are rotated by using the same rotating phase.

## 5.7 STTD-OTD scheme

The STTD-OTD technique for a symbol sequence S1, S2, S3 and S4 is given below [18]:

Antenna 1:	$S_1 \   \ S_1$	$ \mathbf{S}_2 $   $\mathbf{S}_2$	2
Antenna 2:	$-S_2^*   -S_2^*$	$ \mathbf{S}_1  \mathbf{S}_1$	1
Antenna 3:	$S_3 \mid -S_3$	S <sub>4</sub>   -S	4
Antenna 4:	$-{S_{4}}^{\ast} \mid {S_{4}}^{\ast}$	S <sub>3</sub> <sup>*</sup>  -S	3*1

A block diagram of the transmitter chain is shown in Figure 8 below. A symbol level scrambling to randomize the fading antenna pattern for STTD-OTD is done before STTD-OTD encoding. The four possible ways that can be employed to scramble the symbol sequence  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$  are given below:

 $(b_0, b_1)$ 

 $(0,\,0)\colon\,S_1,\,\,S_2,\,\,S_3,\,S_4$ 

 $(0,\,1)\colon S_2,\,S_1,\,S_4,\,S_3$ 

(1, 0): S<sub>3</sub>, S<sub>4</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>

(1, 1): S<sub>4</sub>, S<sub>3</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>

The value of the bits  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$  determines the scrambling of the symbols  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$ . The bits  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$  can be derived from the long code for the base station. This symbol level scrambling is employed before the STTD-OTD encoding is done.



Figure 8. Proposed symbol levels crambling with STTD-OTD at the transmitter is shown.

## 5.8 Closed-Loop STTD with multiple antennas

The Closed-Loop (CL) STTD with multiple antennas is a concept valid for any even number of transmit antennas. Here it will be described for the case of 4 antennas (CL-4-Tx-STTD). The transmitter of CL-4-Tx-STTD is depicted in Figure 9Figure 9 [26]-[28].



Figure 9. CL-4-Tx-STTD transmitter.

The received signal during two symbol periods at the receiver front end with one antenna element can be represented as

$$r_{1} = w_{1}\alpha S_{1} - w_{2}\beta S_{2}^{*} + n_{1}$$

$$r_{2} = w_{1}\alpha S_{2} + w_{2}\beta S_{1}^{*} + n_{2}$$
(14)

where  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are the data symbols, and  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are complex Gaussian noise samples. The parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are defined as follows,

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( h_{1k} + h_{2k} e^{j\phi} \right)$$
$$\beta = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( h_{3k} + h_{4k} e^{j\psi} \right), \tag{15}$$

where  $h_{1k}$ ,  $h_{2k}$ ,  $h_{3k}$  and  $h_{4k}$  are the complex amplitude coefficients of the propagation paths from 4 transmit antennas, having the same propagation delay. There are K propagation paths from each transmit antenna. The parameters  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ are the rotation phases. It is required that  $\phi = \psi + \pi$ , so one of the rotation phases is picked up from a look-up table given in Section 5.6, while the other is calculated accordingly. The rotation phase should be kept constant over the period of at least 2 symbols.

The symbols  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  can be decoded from  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  by using the receiver consisting of an ordinary STTD decoder, having  $\alpha_k$  replaced by  $\alpha_k w_1$ , and  $\beta_k$  replaced by  $\beta_k w_2$ .

The weights  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are defined as [27]

$$w_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} |\beta_{k}|^{2} / \sum_{k=1}^{K} |\alpha_{k}|^{2}\right)^{2}}}, \quad w_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} |\alpha_{k}|^{2} / \sum_{k=1}^{K} |\beta_{k}|^{2}\right)^{2}}}.$$
(16)

The feedback quantization method, consisting of FBI bit generation (encoding) in the UE and decoding in the Node B, is shown in Figure 10.





(b) FBI decoding

#### Figure 10. Predictive Feedback Quantization method.

The one-bit FBI encoding of power ratio of two propagation channels is performed similarly as for selection transmit diversity: if the power of the first propagation channel is greater than or equal to the power of the second channel, FBI bit is set to 1, otherwise FBI bit is set to 0. The FBI bit is then transmitted to Node B.

The received FBI decoder at the NodeB consists of a delay-line buffer for storing a number of most recently received

FBI bits, and the ratio regenerator. The ratio regenerator regenerates the power ratio  $\sum_{k=1}^{K} |\alpha_k|^2 / \sum_{k=1}^{K} |\beta_k|^2$ . The ratio

regenerator is a look-up table. The three different ratio regenerators are given in Figure 11 Figure 11 Figure 11, corresponding to the decoding lengths L equal to 1, 2 and 3 FBI bits. Once the power ratio is regenerated (Ratio= $10^{\text{Ratio}(\text{dB})10}$ ), the weights  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are calculated according to the equation (16).



0

0

0

0

0

-6

Tapping Table for $L = 3$							
FBI(k)	FBI(k-1)	FBI(k-2)	Ratio(dB)				
0	0	0	-6				
0	0	1	-4				
0	1	0	0				
0	1	1	-2				
1	0	0	2				
1	0	1	0				
1	1	0	4				
1	1	1	6				

Formatted: Font: TimesNew Roman,Not Bold, (Asian) Chinese (SimplifiedPRC), (Other) English (UnitedKingdom)

Formatted: Font: TimesNew Roman,Not Bold, (Asian) Chinese (SimplifiedPRC), (Other) English (United Kingdom)

#### Figure 11. Power ratio regenerators for 1, 2, and 3 consecutive FBI bits.

#### 5.8.1 Antenna verification algorithm

The outcome of antenna verification algorithm is the estimate  $R_q^{(est)}$  of the quantized power ratio  $R_q$  used in the Node B's FBI decoder. The FBI decoder of length 1 will be assumed. Thus in each slot there are two hypothesises to choose among in the UE. The hypothesis  $H_0$  corresponds to the FBI=0, while the hypothesis  $H_1$  corresponds to FBI=1.

The channel coefficient of *i*-th path estimated in the UE from the CPICH from transmit antenna 1 is denoted by  $\alpha_i^{(p)}$ 

and from antenna 2 by  $\beta_i^{(p)}$ . The channel coefficient of *i*-th path estimated in the UE from the DPCCH from transmit antenna 1 is denoted by  $\alpha_i^{(d)}$  and from antenna 2 by  $\beta_i^{(d)}$ .

The hypothesis  $H_0$ , i.e.  $R_q^{(est)} = R_0 = 4$ , should be chosen if the following inequality is satisfied

$$\frac{\gamma(1+R_0)}{\sqrt{1+R_0^2}}\sum_{i=1}^{K} \left[ \frac{\left| \alpha_i^{(p)} \right|^2}{\sigma_i^2} - \frac{\left| \beta_i^{(p)} \right|^2}{\sigma_{K+i}^2} \right] - 2\sum_{i=1}^{K} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_i^{(d)} \cdot \alpha_i^{(p)^*}}{\sigma_i^2} - \frac{\beta_i^{(d)} \cdot \beta_i^{(p)^*}}{\sigma_{K+i}^2} \right\} > \frac{\sqrt{1+R_0^2}}{\gamma(1-R_0)} \ln \frac{P(H_1)}{P(H_0)},$$

where  $\gamma^2 = SNR_{dpcch pilot} / SNR_{cpich}$ .

The a priori probabilities of both hypothesises in each slot can be determined on the basis of the previously issued FBI bit from the UE (taking also into account the FBI delay from the UE to the NodeB). If it is the FBI=0 that has been previously issued by the UE and used by the NodeB in the current slot after the delay known to the UE, then the a priori probability of the hypotheses  $H_0$  is  $P(H_0)=1-p_{fe}$ , while  $P(H_1)=p_{fbe}$  where  $p_{fbe}$  is the feedback error rate. Typically the feedback error rate is assumed to be 4%, so an upper bound (e.g. of 10%) can be used. If there is no exact knowledge of the feedback delay, then the a priori probabilities of both hypothesises have to be assumed equal.

## 5.9 4Tx OL-CL Diversity

It is desirable that Tx diversity solutions shall be applicable to a variety of different antenna constellations. The 4Txopen loop – closed loop (OL-CL) diversity scheme [34] is suitable for application with sub-array antenna arrangements in which case a combined spatial/polarisation diversity and beamforming gain is achievable. Possible 4Tx sub-array antenna arrangements include two spatially separated 2-element linear-polarised arrays or an array using two crosspolarised elements. The elements of a sub-array are assumed to have a narrow spacing, e.g. half a wavelength.

The 4Tx OL-CL scheme is further characterised by the following design considerations:

Formatted: Heading2;H2, Outline numbered+ Level:2 + Numbering Style:1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 6 + AlignmentLeft + Alignedat: 0 cm + Tabafter: 2,01 cm + Indentat: 2,01 cm Formatted: Bulletsand Numbering Formatted: Indent:Left: 0 cm, First line: 0 cm, Linespacing: single Formatted: Left, Linespacing: single



 $\hat{s}_1 = -\tilde{h}_2 r_0 * +\tilde{h}_1 * r_1.$ 

and



## 6 New CPICH Transmission Schemes

# 6.1 New CPICH transmission scheme for > 2 Tx antennas with reduced PAPR and power balancing

In Release 99 specification, transmit diversity using 2 antennas is included. Currently, various transmit diversity schemes using 4 transmit antenna are considered for possible extension to Release 2000. However, the most important thing that should be solved first is the pilot reference channel for 4-antenna.

In this document, a new CPICH transmission scheme is propose for 4-antenna transmit diversity. The most important thing that should be kept in mind when proposing new CPICH transmission scheme for 4-antenna transmit diversity is the backward compatibility. Here, the backward compatibility means that the Release 99 UE should be able to demodulate the whole physical channels (dedicated or common physical channel) without any change in receiver structure.

In section 6.1.1, the transmission scheme of CPICH for 4-antenna transmit diversity is described and the backward compatibility is proved. In section 6.1.2, corresponding common physical channel transmission scheme with 4 transmit antenna to satisfy the backward compatibility is proposed. And the corresponding transmission schemes of dedicated physical channel with 2-antenna and 4-antenna transmit diversity UE are described in section 6.1.36.1.36.1.36.1.3.

Let's distinguish UEs by their diversity mode as following.

2-ant diversity UE: UE in 2-antenna diversity mode (open/closed) 4-ant diversity UE: UE in 4-antenna diversity mode (open?/closed?)

## 6.1.1 CPICH Transmission Scheme

#### 6.1.1.1 CPICH Transmission Scheme

If UTRAN supports 4 transmit diversity (open or closed loop) for dedicated channel to UE in the cell, then it should provide 3 additional diversity pilot channels as well as primary CPICH. However, since the CPICH is a common physical channel it also should be received by all UEs with different diversity mode, and thus one and only one CPICH transmission scheme should be used. Each UE should estimate the channel(s) as many as the number of transmit antenna, since each antenna has its own path. That is, common CPICH transmission scheme for 4 transmit antenna shall have the property that it must be recognised as one, two, or 4 pilot channels to 2-ant diversity UE and 4-ant diversity UE, respectively. Figure 15Figure 15 Figure 12 shows the proposed CPICH transmission scheme for 4 transmit antenna which satisfies the property. The main characteristics of the proposed CPICH transmission scheme are:

- using two OVSF codes (C<sub>OVSF1</sub> and C<sub>OVSF2</sub>)
- same pilot pattern as Release 99' 2-ant CPICH (AA and A-A/-AA)
- different control of pilot channel gain for 2-ant or 4-ant diversity reception
- backward compatible with Release 99
- reduce PAPR by distributing physical channels to 4 antenna



#### Figure 151512. Proposed CPICH transmission scheme for 4 antenna transmit diversity

The CPICH signal from each antenna at the receiver side is given by the following equations. The timing index and background noise is ignored for simplicity.

$$X_{1} = \mathbf{P}_{1} \times (g \cdot C_{oVSF1} + C_{oVSF2}) \times C_{SC} \times h_{1}$$

$$X_{2} = \mathbf{P}_{1} \times (g \cdot C_{oVSF1} - C_{oVSF2}) \times C_{SC} \times h_{2}$$

$$X_{3} = \mathbf{P}_{2} \times (g \cdot C_{oVSF1} + C_{oVSF2}) \times C_{SC} \times h_{3}$$

$$X_{4} = \mathbf{P}_{2} \times (g \cdot C_{oVSF1} - C_{oVSF2}) \times C_{SC} \times h_{4}$$
(17)

where  $P_1$  (=AA) and  $P_2$  (=A-A or -AA) are the two pilot patterns defined for 2-ant CPICH in Release 99, and  $C_{SC}$  is the primary scrambling code. In Eq. (17),  $C_{OVSF1}$  and  $C_{OVSF2}$  are two OVSF codes where  $C_{OVSF1}$  is  $C_{d_1,256,0}$  and  $C_{OVSF2}$  is one additional OVSF code.  $h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4$  are the channel coefficients for each antenna path. It is worth noting that the parameter g in Eq. (17) is the gain factor to discriminate the received pilot power for 2-ant and 4-ant diversity UE. By varying the gain g, the received pilot strength can be controlled to 2-ant diversity UE and 4-ant diversity UE. For 2-ant diversity UE only  $C_{OVSF1}$  is used and thus the second term in Eq. (17) is removed. On the other hand, for 4-ant diversity UE, both  $C_{OVSF1}$  and  $C_{OVSF2}$  will be used and it can discriminate 4 different antenna paths. Detail receiver structure of different diversity UE are described in next section.

#### 6.1.1.2 Receiver Structure of CPICH

#### 6.1.1.2.1 Receiver structure of 4-ant diversity UE



#### Figure 161613. Receiver structure of 4-ant diversity UE

Figure 16Figure 16Figure 13 shows how the 4-ant diversity UE can receive and estimate the 4 channels. In Figure 16Figure 13,  $\hat{h}_a$ ,  $\hat{h}_b$  denote the channel estimation of  $h_a = g(h_1 + h_2)$ ,  $h_b = g(h_3 + h_4)$ , respectively. Similarly,  $\hat{h}_A$ ,  $\hat{h}_B$ ,  $\hat{h}_C$ ,  $\hat{h}_D$  denote the estimation of  $h_A = h_1 + h_2$ ,  $h_B = h_3 + h_4$ ,  $h_C = h_1 - h_2$ ,  $h_D = h_3 - h_4$ , respectively. Note that these channel estimation pairs { $\hat{h}_a$ ,  $\hat{h}_b$ }, { $\hat{h}_A$ ,  $\hat{h}_B$ ,  $\hat{h}_C$ ,  $\hat{h}_D$ }, or { $\hat{h}_1$ ,  $\hat{h}_2$ ,  $\hat{h}_3$ ,  $\hat{h}_4$ } can be used to compensate the common or dedicated physical channels.

#### 6.1.1.2.2 Receiver structure of 2-ant diversity UE

Figure 17Figure 17Figure 14 is the CPICH receiver structure of 2-ant diversity UE and it can also be used with the proposed CPICH transmission scheme without any change. Note that the channel estimation value with the receiver is exactly same as the output of the first branch output in Figure 16Figure 16Figure 13. That is,  $h_a = g(h_1 + h_2)$  and



Figure 171714. Receiver structure of 2-ant diversity UE

#### 6.1.1.2.3 Summary of channel estimation outputs

Table 6. shows the summary of demodulation parameters and the channel estimation output according to the UE diversity mode. In Table 6 the related physical channel implies the physical channel that utilises the corresponding channel estimation output during demodulation. The main idea of the Table 6 is that the transmission structure of the related physical channel should be designed carefully with considering the corresponding channel estimation output.

Rx		Pilot	Channel			
parameters UE mode	mode parameters scrambling code		pilot pattern	channel estimation output	Related physical channel	
2-ant diversity	C <sub>SC</sub>	$C_{OVSF1} = C_{ch,256,0}$	AA A-A/-AA	$h_a = g(h_1 + h_2)$ $h_b = g(h_3 + h_4)$	Common CH Dedicated CH	
4-ant diversity	C <sub>SC</sub>	$C_{OVSF1} = C_{ch,256,0}$ $C_{OVSF2} = C_{ch,256,1}$	AA A-A/-AA	$h_a = g(h_1 + h_2)$ $h_b = g(h_3 + h_4)$	Common CH	
				$h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4$	Dedicated CH	

Table 6. Summary of demodulation parameters and channel estimation output

## 6.1.2 Common Physical Channel Tx Scheme

#### 6.1.2.1 Common Physical Channel Tx Scheme

Common physical channel should be transmitted with one and only one transmission scheme. However, each UE should receive the common physical channel as their transmit diversity mode. Figure 18Figure 18Figure 15 is the proposed common physical channel transmission scheme where the original symbols  $(S_1, S_2)$  are transmitted to antenna 1 and 2, while the STTD encoded symbols  $(-S_2^*, S_1^*)$  are transmitted to antenna 3 and 4. Backward compatibility of this scheme can be easily proved and shown in section <u>6.1.2.26.1.2.26.1.2.2</u>.



Figure 181815. Common Physical Channel Transmission

#### 6.1.2.2 Receiver Structure of Common Physical Channel Tx Scheme

If the common physical channel transmission scheme in Figure 18Figure 18Figure 15 is used, 2-ant and 4-ant diversity UE can receive the signals with the conventional STTD decoder as shown in Figure 19Figure 16.



#### Figure 191916. Receiver Structure for Common Physical Channel Transmission Scheme 1

The received signal after multiplication of OVSF in Figure 19Figure 19Figure 16 is given by

$$r_{t1} = S_1(h_1 + h_2) - S_2^*(h_3 + h_4) = S_1h_a - S_2^*h_b$$

$$r_{t2} = S_2(h_1 + h_2) + S_1^*(h_3 + h_4) = S_2h_a + S_1^*h_b$$
(18)

where t1, t2 denote the time unit. Since the channel estimation provided by 2-antenna CPICH receiver are  $\hat{h}_a$  and  $\hat{h}_b$  (See Table 6), conventional STTD receiver can be used without any change.

#### 6.1.3 Dedicated Physical Channel Tx Scheme

In case of a dedicated physical channel, transmission scheme should be different for each UE according to the diversity mode. However, the transmission scheme should be carefully designed with considering the available channel estimation output as given in T able 6.

#### 6.1.3.1 Dedicated Physical Channel Tx Scheme for R99/R4 UE

For R99/R4 UE which does not know the usage of 4 ant transmission in the Node-B, the available channel estimation is  $h_a = g(h_1 + h_2)$ ,  $h_b = g(h_3 + h_4)$  (See Table 6). It means that the antenna 1 and antenna 2 should transmit one signal, and antenna 3 and antenna 4 should transmit the other signal. Based on the above constraint, Figure 20Figure 20Figure 17 and Figure 21 Figure 21 Figure 18 show the proposed transmission scheme for dedicated physical channel to 2-ant STTD and closed loop transmit diversity UE, respectively. By distributing 2-antenna signals to 4-antenna, such situation that the power of R99/R4 UE's concentrate on two antenna can be avoided. Definitely, it reduces the PAPR (Peak to Average Power Ratio)





Figure 202017. Dedicated physical channel transmission scheme for R99/R4 STTD diversity UE

Figure 212118. Dedicated physical channel transmission scheme for R99/R4 closed loop transmit diversity UE

#### 6.1.3.2 Dedicated Physical Channel Transmission Scheme for beyond R99/R4 UE

If a dedicated physical channel is transmitted to a beyond R99/R4 diversity UE which assumes knowing the usage of 4 ant transmission in the Node-B, e.g. R5 and/or R6, the transmission scheme should be designed with considering the available channel estimations output to the UE. With the proposed CPICH transmission scheme, the available channel estimation output of the beyond R99/R5 UE are  $\hat{h}_1, \hat{h}_2, \hat{h}_3, \hat{h}_4$  (See T able 6). Currently, there is no accepted 4-antenna open/closed loop transmit diversity scheme but the proposed CPICH transmission scheme can be used with any kind of open/closed loop diversity proposal.

## 6.2 New pilot scheme for 4-Tx-antennas

To keep the specification as simple and effective as possible we propose not to extend Tx diversity for 4-antennas to the all channels – instead we suggest to keep all the general measurements as indicated in Rel-99/4 specification. Only channels that could arguably benefit from 4-antenna Tx-diversity (e.g. DPCH and DSCH) are proposed to be extended. P-CPiCH is would remain basically unchanged and could be used as a reference for measurements in Rel-99/4 UEs as well.

It seems evident that different 4-Tx-diversity concept state different requirements for pilots. One important requirement is the possibility for good channel estimations for additional channels. We propose to utilize dedicated pilots for the additional channels, and recommend to justify slot formats that are favorable for each concept, including possibility to utilize power offsets for dedicated pilots.

The use of S-CP iCH will add the overall power loss of a cell when reserving acceptable P-CPiCH level, hence its use is recommended only for the situations when no Rel'99 terminals are in the cell coverage area or when a proposed scheme offers big enough gain to compensate for S-CP iCH energy.

#### 6.2.1 Description of the proposed pilot transmission scheme

This section contains the description of the non-symmetric orthogonal pilots. Let a pseudo-antenna configuration be defined by

$$\begin{cases}
A_a := A_1 + A_2 \\
A_b := A_3 + A_4 \\
A_c := A_1 - A_2 \\
A_d := A_3 - A_4
\end{cases}$$
(19)

where  $A_1, ..., A_4$  are the physical antennas; another configuration would be e.g.  $A_a := A_1, A_b := A_2, A_c := A_3$  and  $A_d := A_4$ .

Then primary common pilots are spread over all antennas as shown in Figure 22Figure 22Figure 19 - hence P-CPiCH separates two orthogonal channels via four physical antennas such that P-CPiCH power is evenly distributed over them. Therefore, 2-Tx-antenna schemes (for Rel-99/4) utilize two sum channels (see [17]) (i.e., the corresponding dedicated channels are transmitted over four antennas, the first diversity branch via antennas 1&2, and the second via antennas 3&4). This arrangement guarantees good power balance.

In order to guarantee Rel-99/4 UE's full functionality (as long they are in the market), P-CPiCH energy should be adjusted as for 2-Tx-cells. All general measurements from common channels (e.g. SHO evaluation, idle mode cell reselection and synchronization as indicated in [10]) are done using only the proposed P-CPiCH scheme – so there is no need to extend all the channels for 4-Tx-diversity schemas and the corresponding Rel-99/4 functionality can be maintained in later releases.

Dedicated pilots are proposed to be utilized in order to separate two additional channels, possibly with the help of S-CP iCH (e.g. according to the operators choice and to the amount of rel'99 UE's in the cell area; S-CPiCH energy may be time variant) with adjustable gain factor g as presented in Figure 23Figure 23Figure 20(g = g(t) is possibly time varying). Note that specifying g = f we get the pilot extension scheme already described above. Dedicated pilots can be powered by 0-6 dB offsets (as allowed already in Rel-99/4 specification) and short pilot symbol intervals (e.g. two symbols) will not be allowed – each dedicated pilot arrangement is designed based on the corresponding Tx-diversity schema.



Figure 222219. Transmission of P-CPiCH via pseudo-antennas  $A_a A_b$ .



Figure 232320. Transmission of S-CPiCH via two pseudo-antennas  $A_{c,}A_d$  with adjustable gain factor g.



# Figure 242424. Transmission of DPCCH pilots via two or four pseudo-antennas $A_a, A_b, A_c, A_d$ with adjustable pilot offsets. Four orthogonal Hadamard sequences are used as pilot symbols.

## 6.3 New CPICH Transmission scheme for > 2 Tx antennas

In Release 99 specification, transmit diversity using 2 antennas is included. For an extension of transmit diversity schemes using more than 2 transmit antennas the definition of a new CPICH transmission scheme is essential. The UE must be enabled to perform channel estimation for each antenna. In this section, a new CPICH transmission scheme is described for 4-antenna transmit diversity. The shown principles can be also applied to more than 4 antennas.

The following principles apply:

- definition of the orthogonal pilots for CPICH using OVSF codes
- same pilot patterns (modulation with AA and A-A/-AA) as in Release 99 are used
- definition of unequal CPICH power ratio between CPICH1&2 and CPICH3&4
- possibility to utilize only dedicated pilots

A detailed example of the new CPICH transmission scheme for four antennas that also has the advantage of reduced PAPR and balancing the power of the antennas at the Node B is described in section 6.1.

#### 6.3.1 Definition of new orthogonal pilot sequences

The definition of new orthogonal pilot sequences is described in this section. The extension to four antennas is shown in Figure 25Figure 22. One can see the modulated CPICH for two antennas as specified in Rel99/4, which is denoted here as CPICH1 and CPICH2. Hereby the two different pilot symbol patterns are spread using channelisation code  $C_{ch2560}$ .



#### Figure 252522 Orthogonal pilots for 4 antennas

When using four antennas, two additional orthogonal pilot sequences are defined. The same pilot symbol patterns as in Rel99/4 spread by a different channelisation code are used. To keep the complexity at the UE simple a channelisation code as close as possible in the code tree to Cch,256,0 is desired. However, code Cch,256,1 is already reserved for the Primary CCPCH, so the next available one is Cch,256,2. This code is therefore used for spreading of CPICH3 and CPICH4. The resulting structure can be seen in Figure 25Figure 25.

Note that scrambling is done with the same scrambling code for all channels and is not shown in Figure 25Figure 22.

For the Rel 99/4 DPCH it shall be possible to use CPICH1 and CPICH 2 as a phase reference. If CPICH is used as a phase reference for a DPCH using four antennas it shall be possible for the UE to use CPICH 1, 2, 3 and 4.

All common channels (other than pilot) are using CPICH1 and CPICH2 as phase reference.

It is still possible for the UTRAN to use non-standardised beamforming techniques. Hereby a S-CPICH or dedicated pilot bits can be used as phase reference for a DPCH.

The need for a further extension to more than 4 antennas is ffs.

#### 6.3.2 Unequal power setting for CPICH

The total power of the CPICH 1,2,..,4 can be configured by the network. The power of CPICH3&4 can be adjusted relative to the power of CPICH1&2 by the gain factor g with  $0 \le g \le 1$ . It is ffs whether good performance of TX diversity techniques requires that the value of g is signalled to the UE.

Examples:

If g = 1 the power on CPICH 3&4 is the same as the power on CPICH 1&2 and therefore the CPICH power is equally distributed with ration 50:50.

If g = 0.25 a power ratio between CPICH 1&2 and CPICH 3&4 of 80:20 is obtained.

The case of unequal power allocation is also covered in the simulations (section 7.1.1).

## 7 Performance

## 7.1 Link level simulation assumptions

#### 7.1.1. Simulation assumptions

Table 1 and Table 2 list the simulation parameters that should be used in the Tx diversity simulations for DPCH for R99 and HS-channels respectively. It is recommended that each proponent have the same receiver related to each algorithm of channel estimation and SIR estimation so as to make fair comparisons without disputes. Table 3 represents one of recommendation of receiver algorithms. Also corresponding antenna verification algorithm to be used for evaluations should be described for each closed loop transmit diversity scheme. If antenna verification algorithm is not described for a given scheme, the scheme will be evaluated without antenna verification algorithm.

Table	1. Recommended simulation	parameters for T	x diversity simulations	(DPCH for R99)
			······································	(

Bit Rate	12.2 kbps
Chip Rate	3.84 Mcps
Convolutional code rate	1/3
<b>Carrier frequency</b>	2 GHz
PC rate	1500 Hz
PC delay	1 slot*
PC error rate	4 %
PC Step Size	1 dB per antenna
Channel model(s) and UE velocities	As in SCM link level channel model
CL TxD feedback rate	1 bit / slot
CL TxD feedback delay	1 slot
CL TxD feedback error rate	4 %
TTI	20 ms
Downlink DPCH slot format	#10 or #11
Number of RAKE fingers	Equal to number of taps in the channel
	model
Target FER/Blk ER	1 %

Geometry (G)	-3, 0 and 6 dB
CPICH Power	-10 dB total
Performance measure	$T_x E_c/I_{or}$
	1 X 20 401

\* Power control cmd will be applied after l slot as in Annex B.1 of 25.214 (V.5.4.0).

#### Table 2. Recommended simulation parameters for Tx diversity simulations (HS-channels for HSDPA)

Bit Rate	As defined in HS-channels		
Chip Rate	3.84 Mcps		
MCS	1/4, 1/2, 3/4 with QP SK		
	1/2, 3/4 with 16QAM		
Max no. of iterations for Turbo Coder	8		
Metric for Turbo Coder	Max Log Map		
Turbo Interleaver	Random		
<b>Carrier frequency</b>	2 GHz		
Power control	OFF		
Channel model(s) and UE velocities	As in SCM link level channel model		
CL TxD feedback rate	1 bit/ slot		
CL TxD feedback delay	1 slot		
CL TxD feedback bit error rate	4 %		
TTI	2 ms		
Number of RAKE fingers	Equal to number of taps in the channel model		
Target FER/Blk ER	1 %		
Geometry (G)	-3, 0 and 6 dB		
<b>CPICH Power</b>	-10 dB total		
Performance measure	$T_x E_c / I_{or}$		
CQI feedback delay	3 slots, 6 slots		
CQI feedback error	0 %		

Table 3. Recommended Receiver Setup

Channel Estimation	From Dedicated Pilots for Antenna Verification	Simple Average over dedicated pilots of each slot Simple Average over		
		common pilot in each slot		
SIR	From Dedicated Pilots for Power Control	Summed estimates from each finger		
Estimation	From both Dedicated and common pilots for Antenna Verification	1-order filtering for each finger [33]		

## 7.1.2. Link Level Channel Model

In this section, link level channel model parameters are described in Table 4 considering one antenna element at UE.

Model		Case I		Case II		Case III		Case IV	
PDP		Modified Pedestrian A		Vehicular A		Pedestri an B		Single Path	
# of Paths		1) $4+1$ (LOS on, K = 6dB)		6	6		6		
		2) 4 (LOS off)							
Relative Path Power (dB)	Delay (ns)	1) 0.0	0	0,0	0		0	0	0
		2) -Inf				0.0	0	0	0
		1) -6.51	0	-1.0	310	-0.9	200		
		2) 0.0							
		1) -16.21	110	-9.0	710	-4.9	800		
		2) -9.7							
		1) -25.71	190	-10.0	1090	-8.0	1200		
		2) -19.2							
		1) -29.31	410	15.0	1720	7 9	2300		
		2) -22.8		-15.0	1750	-7.0	2300		
				-20.0	2510	-23.9	3700		
Speed (km/h)		1) 3		3, 30, 12	0	3, 30, 120	)	3	
		2) 30, 120							
	Topology	Reference 0.52		Reference 0.5λ		Reference 0.5λ		N	[/A
	PAS	<ol> <li>LOS on: Fixed AoA for LOS component, remaining power has 360 degree uniform PAS.</li> </ol>		RMS angle spread of 35 degrees per path with a Lapacian distribution Or 360 degree uniform PAS.		RMS angle spread of 35 degrees per path with a Lapacian distribution		N	[/A
		2) L0	OS off PAS						
UE		W	ith a Lapacian						
		R	MS angle						
		sp	oread of 35						
		de	grees per path						
	DoT (degrees)	0		22.5		-22.5		N	[/A
	AoA	<ul><li>22.5 (LOS component)</li><li>67.5 (all other paths)</li></ul>		67.5 (all paths)		22.5 (odd numbered paths), -67.5 (even numbered		N	[/A
	(degrees)								
						paths)			
еB	Topology	Reference: ULA with					N	I/A	
Nod		0.5λ-spacing or 4λ-spacing or 10λ-spacing							

Table 4. Spatial channel model parameters for multiple transmit antennas

I	Model	Case I	Case II	Case III	Case IV
	PAS	Lapacian distribution with RMS angle spread of			N/A
		2 degrees or 5 degrees,			
		per path depending on AoA/AoD			
	AoD/AoA	50° for 2° RMS angle spread per path			N/A
	(degrees)	20° for 5° RMS angle spread per path			

NOTE: The Angle of Arrival (AoA) and Power azimuth spectrum (PAS) may not be applicable to the UE because it only has 1 antenna.

## 8 Impacts to UE and UTRAN implementation

## 9 Impacts to physical layer operation

## 10 Backwards compatibility to R99/R4

## 10.1 Eigenbeamformer concept

With the eigenbeam former no backward compatibility problem is identified for Release-99.

## 10.2 Basis selection scheme for > 2 Tx antennas

## 10.3 New CPICH Transmission scheme for > 2 Tx antennas

This proposed scheme satisfies the backward compatibility with Release 99 2-ant diversity UE. The proper common/dedicated physical channel transmission scheme is also considered to be used with the proposed CPICH transmission scheme. With only one additional channelisation code, the proposed CPICH transmission scheme can be used as diversity pilot for 4 transmit antenna.

## 10.4 4-Tx-STTD concept

Pilot backward (rel'99) compatibility problem (meaning that decreasing of P-CPiCH transmit power for rel'99 UE's) can fully be avoided e.g. by utilizing the dedicated pilots for the two additional channels. Indeed, decoding of 4-Tx-STTD is requiring only two channel estimates for rel'99 STTD-decoder.

## 10.5 Closed loop mode 1 for > 2 Tx antennas

As Rel.-99 closed loop mode 1 is one instance (i.e. R2F2T2) of R2FNTM solutions there are no special backwards compatibility problems.

# 11 Evaluations of the decribed schemes [tbd]

## History

Document history					
Date	Version	Comment			
	0.1.0	First draft			
Nov. 22, 2001	0.1.1	Revised version			
Feb. 21, 2002	0.2.1	Merged version			
Feb. 22, 2002	1.0.0	Updated version to informative present in the plenary			
Nov. 11, 2002	1.0.1	T wo contributions are included			
Mar. 26, 2003	1.0.2	Scope and a contribution are included			
April 3, 2003	1.1.0	The clean version of 1.0.2 (e-mail)			
August 29, 2003	1.1.1	Based on #32's decesion, two contributions are added.			
September 27, 2003	1.2.0	WG1 approved version of V1.1.1			
February 27, 2004         1.2.1         Including one contribution with updating of fig					
Editor for 3G TR 25.869	is: James Sung Jin Kim				
Tel. : +82-31-280-8175/- Fax : +82-31-280-9555 Email : <u>communication</u>	2-880-1771 @ <u>samsung.com, kimsj@mot</u>	<u>vile.snu.ac.kr</u>			
This document is written in Microsoft Word version.					